

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,743	05/26/2006	Hermann Klingels	5038.1025	9343
23280 DAVIDSON. I	23280 7590 11/27/2007 DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC		EXAMINER	
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR			KIM, TAE JUN	
NEW YORK,	NY 10018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		111
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comme	10/580,743	KLINGELS, HERMANN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted Kim	3746
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNG (a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	IICATION.  The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ARANDONED (35.U.S.C. & 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
4) Claim(s) 21-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 21-38 are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to a comparison of the drawing of the specification to the drawing of the specification to the drawing of the specification of the specificant may not request that any objection to the drawing of the specificant may not request that any objection to the specificant may not request the specificant	election requirement.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in heterory ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage
attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic 1.

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I: Figure 2

Species II: Figure 3.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also

identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently

added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered

non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected

species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following

manner:

Species I:

Claims 21-35

10/580,743

Art Unit: 3746

Species II: Claims 21, 25-28, 35-38

The following claim(s) are generic: 21, 25-28, 35.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species of Fig. 3 requires an engeable freewheel arrangement whereas the species of Fig. 2 uses 2 gears with a clutch and 2 generators.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## **Contact Information**

10/580,743 Art Unit: 3746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Ted Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4829. The Examiner can be reached on regular business hours before 5:00 pm, Monday to Thursday and every other Friday.

The fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer, can be reached at 571-272-7118. Alternate inquiries to Technology Center 3700 can be made via 571-272-3700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). General inquiries can also be directed to the Patents Assistance Center whose telephone number is 800-786-9199. Furthermore, a variety of online resources are available at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/main/patents.htm">http://www.uspto.gov/main/patents.htm</a>

/Ted Kim/	Telephone 571-272-4829
Primary Examiner	Fax (Regular) 571-273-8300
November 20, 2007	Fax (After Final) 571-273-8300
Technology Center 3700	Telephone 571-272-3700